UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,299	08/12/2008	Hermann Monstadt	EV3N.011NP	8939
	7590 12/08/201 RTENS, OLSON & BI	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN ST FOURTEENTH	REET	MENDOZA, MICHAEL G		
IRVINE, CA 92			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/08/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JCARTEE@KMOB.COM efiling@kmob.com

Office Action Communication		Ар	plication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10	/597,299	MONSTADT, HE	MONSTADT, HERMANN			
Office Action Summary			aminer	Art Unit				
		MI	CHAEL G. MENDOZA	3734				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. atutory period will app will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNICAT In no event, however, may a reply to oly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to the application to become ABAND	TION. De timely filed from the mailing date of this ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>21 Septe</u>	<u>mber 2010</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL .	2b)∐ This acti	on is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 								
	6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or ele	ction requirement.					
	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the	o Evaminor						
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		d or h) objected to by t	he Evaminer				
10)	Applicant may not request that any object	-	•					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				CER 1 121(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to			-	, ,			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
			о останов образование					
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sumn					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	TO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	nil Date nal Patent Application				
_	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Store Approaction					

Application/Control Number: 10/597,299 Page 2

Art Unit: 3734

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments, see page 6 of the arguments, filed 9/21/2010, with respect to claims 3-5 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 USC 112 rejection of claims 3 has been withdrawn.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The applicant has amended the independent claim to include new limitations requiring new consideration.
- 3. Claims 1-23 are pending.
- 4. Claims 24 has been cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Regarding claims 1, 3-7, 9, and 13-16, the word "means" is preceded by the word(s) "securing" in an attempt to use a "means" clause to recite a claim element as a means for performing a specified function. However, since no function is specified by the word(s) preceding "means," it is impossible to determine the equivalents of the element, as required by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. See *Ex parte Klumb*, 159 USPQ 694 (Bd. App. 1967).

Application/Control Number: 10/597,299 Page 3

Art Unit: 3734

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-17 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bashiri et al. 6165178 in view of Aganon et al. 7166122.
- 10. Bashiri et al. teaches a device comprising an insertion aid (102), at least one occlusion helix (120), the at least one occlusion helix comprising a longitudinally-oriented lumen (see figs.), a securing means extending through the lumen; at least one electrolytically corrodible severance element (112), with at least one stabilization helix (127) being arranged between severance element (112) and occlusion helix (127), characterized in that the stabilization helix (127) being connected with the occlusion helix (120) by an electrically isolating adhesion layer (125) such that the occlusion helix (120) becomes isolated from voltage when an electrical voltage is applied to the severance element (112), It should be noted that Bashiri et al. fail to specifically teach wherein the securing means extends through the lumen to a distal front section of the at least one occlusion helix.
- 11. Aganon et al. teaches a device with a common securing means extending through a lumen to a distal front section of the at least one occlusion helix (fig. 1) for preventing unwanted stretch though the device during positioning. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Art Unit: 3734

made to modify the device of Bashiri et al. in view of Aganon et al. to include securing means extending through a lumen to a distal front section of the at least one occlusion helix to prevent stretching through the entire device for proper positioning.

Page 4

- 12. Aganon et al. also teaches the limitation of wherein the at least one securing means is connected to the distal front section of the at least one occlusion helix with a distally electrically isolating distal adhesion layer (the distal cap 107 is made of thermoplastics).
- 13. Bashiri/Aganon teacher the device according to claim 1, wherein the stabilization helix (127) comprises an electrically isolating coating (col. 6, lines 8-16); and wherein a securing means (129) extends through the lumen of the occlusion helix (120); wherein the securing means consists of a material having shape-memory properties (nitinol, col. 8, lines 56-col. 9 line 45, Aganon); wherein the securing means (129) is configured to transform and assume a previously impressed structure configuration when placed into the blood vessel or body cavity (definition of shape-memory); wherein the securing means (129) consists of Nitinol (col. 9, lines 14-25); wherein at east one securing means extends from the stabilization helix to the distal front section of the at least one occlusion helix; wherein the at least one securing means is connected with the distal front section of the at least one occlusion helix via an electrically isolation distal adhesion layer configured to isolate the occlusion helix from an electrical voltage applied to the severance element; wherein the securing means is provided with an electrically isolating coating (col. 15, lines 42-46 Aganon); wherein the at least one occlusion helix comprises an inner side with an electrically isolating coating (col. 8, lines

Art Unit: 3734

4-12, Aganon); wherein the at least one occlusion helix is provided with a plurality of spaced electrolytically corrodible severance elements (see figs., Aganon); further comprising a plurality of spaced occlusion helixes with an electrolytically corrodible severance element arranged between each of the individual spaced occlusion helixes (fig. 6, 312(1)-312(3), Aganon); further comprising a securing means arranged in a segment of the at least one occlusion helix located between the plurality of spaced electrolytically corrodible severance elements (fig. 6, 308(1)-308(4), Aganon); wherein at least one of the securing means extend from one stabilization helix connected by a severance element to the next distally located stabilization helix (fig. 6, Aganon); wherein at least one of the securing means extends from one severance element to the next distally located severance element (fig. 6 Aganon); wherein the plurality of spaced electrolytically corrodible severance elements are connected with each other so as to be electrically conductive via the securing means extending through the lumen of the at least one occlusion helix (a conductive filler can be used conductive connect the securing means); wherein the electrically isolating adhesion layer comprises an acrylate adhesive (polyvinylchloride, col. 15, lines 42-46, Aganon); wherein the occlusion helixes comprise the material selected from the group consisting of platinum, a platinum alloy, and a platinum-iridium alloy (col. 7, lines 61-65, Aganon); wherein the insertion aid is a guide wire (col. 2, lines 14-19); and wherein the device is a micro-catheter (col. 2, lines 35-38).

Page 5

Art Unit: 3734

14. Claim 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bashiri et al. in view of Aganon et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Monstdt et al. 7323000.

Page 6

- 15. Bashiri/Aganon teaches the device according to claim 1. It should be noted that Bashiri/Aganon fails to teach wherein the at least one electrolytically corrodible severance element comprises a steel alloy material. Both Bashiri and Aganon teach a metal link the is dissolved through electrolysis.
- 16. Monstdt et al. teaches a common link using metals including a steel alloy material (col. 4, lines 59-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Bashiri/Aganon in view of Monstdt et al. to make the link with the metal described including steel alloy material as a matter of mere design choice since the are all alternatives for each other.
- 17. Bashiri/Aganon/Monstdt teaches the device according to claim 1 wherein the at elast one electrolytically corrodible severance element is pre-corroded (col. 5, lines 63-66).

Conclusion

18. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 7

Art Unit: 3734

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL G. MENDOZA whose telephone number is (571)272-4698. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Todd Manahan can be reached on (571) 272-4713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,299 Page 8

Art Unit: 3734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. G. M./ Examiner, Art Unit 3734 /EDUARDO C. ROBERT/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3733